

migrant-friendly hospitals – SPA: rating of a measures

Numbers of evaluated measures: 14

Hospitals: DK (1 measure), EL (1 measure), ES (1 measure), FL (5 measure), IE (1 measure), IT (3 measure), SV (1 measure), UK (1 measure)

1.) To what extent could the measure be implemented?

Completely 4
partly 8
not at all 2 (no further answers)

2.) From your point of view, the measure was _____ to implement

Very easy 0
easy 4
difficult 8
very difficult 0

4.) From your point of view...:

| | | Complete ly | Partly | Not at all | n.a. |
|------|--|----------------|--------|------------|------|
| 4.1) | The measure is readily accessible for the target group | 4 | 8 | | |
| 4.2) | The measure meets the needs of the target group | 4 | 8 | | |
| 4.3) | The measure provides information in an adequate form | 3 | 9 | | |
| 4.4) | The measure has changed the working conditions of the staff | 4 | 7 | 1 | |
| 4.5) | The measure has changed the interaction of the staff with the target group | 2 | 10 | | |
| 4.6) | The measure has changed the participation of the target group | 3 | 6 | 1 | 2 |
| 4.7) | The measure has raised health literacy of the target group | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 |

5.) How will the measure be continued?

*the measure will NOT be continued
the future is uncertain at this time* 0
the measure will be adapted and established later 4
the measure is an established part of our service 3
the measure will be extended 5

Cross EU Measure evaluation



Financially supported by the European Commission

bm:bwk

Co-funded by bm:bwk – Federal Ministry for Education, Science and Culture (Austria)



Pilot hospitals from 13 member states of the European Union



Supporting partners



Co-ordinated by LBISHM, WHO Collaborating Centre for Health Promotion in Hospitals and Health Care, Institute for Sociology, University of Vienna